Policy Perspectives
No:13 | November 2026



Photo Credit: Designed by IPATC

Elevating Innovative Finance for SDG Implementation within the G20: An advisory.

Author



Prof Siphamandla Zondi: IPATC and Institute for Global African Affairs; University of Johannesburg, South Africa.

The Proposal

This advisory makes the case for G20 member states to elevate innovative finance from a peripheral workstream to a central pillar of the G20's development and financial architecture. With the 2030 deadline for the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) now less than four years away, and the annual SDG financing gap estimated at US$4–4.5 trillion, incremental adjustments to traditional aid and lending will not suffice. Innovative finance—blended finance, debt swaps, risk-transfer instruments, and country-led financing platforms—offers the only credible pathway to mobilise capital at the required scale and velocity. The G20, as the premier forum for international economic cooperation, is uniquely positioned to convert these instruments from ad hoc experiments into a coherent, system-level response.

5 Molesey Avenue, Auckland Park 2029, Johannesburg, South Africa.

+27 11 559 7230 http://ipatc.joburg

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this article are the author's and do not necessarily reflect the official views or positions of the Institute for Pan-African Thought and Conversation, its Members or the University of Johannesburg.

The Financing Gap Is Widening, and Traditional Flows Are Retreating

The scale of the challenge is no longer in dispute. The 2026 Financing for Sustainable Development Report confirms that the annual SDG financing gap exceeds US$4.5 trillion annually.

What makes this gap structurally different from previous eras is that the traditional financing pillars are under simultaneous strain:

- Official Development Assistance (ODA) is falling sharply. ODA dropped by 6 per cent in 2024 and by a further 23 per cent the following year, even as needs rose.
- Debt servicing burdens have reached 20-year highs, diverting scarce fiscal space away from SDG investments. Three billion people live in countries that spend more on interest payments than on health or education.
- Private investment in SDG sectors is contracting, with global FDI falling by 8 per cent and investment into SDG sectors falling by 11 per cent in a single year.
- Trade and aid architecture is fragmenting, with average tariffs on Least Developed Country exports surging from 9 to 28 per cent in 2025.

The implication is that SDGs cannot be financed through business-as-usual ODA and debt. This is particularly true in the case of the developing world and Africa in particular. Innovative finance is not a supplement to the existing system; it must become a core operating model.

Why Innovative Finance, and Why the G20

Innovative finance instruments are not untested abstractions. They have moved from pilot phase to demonstrated viability, and the G20 has already laid significant groundwork:

- Blended finance is being operationalised through the Global Blended Finance Alliance (GBFA), unanimously endorsed by G20 leaders in Bali in 2022 to accelerate climate and SDG financing through high-quality structures that de-risk investments and catalyse private capital. The GBFA is now running capacity-building programmes to embed blended finance into developing-country financial systems.
- Debt-for-development and debt-for-climate swaps were endorsed at the 2025 Johannesburg Leaders' Summit, alongside expanded use of climate-resilient debt clauses. The G20 Development Working Group has explicitly called for scaling up debt-for-development swap programmes as a replicable model.
- Risk-transfer instruments—parametric insurance, sovereign risk pools, catastrophe bonds, and contingent credit—were the subject of a G20-endorsed compendium launched by UNCDF in October 2025, with 20 case studies demonstrating their application in LDCs and SIDS. The G20 Disaster Risk Reduction Working Group has signalled strong commitment to scaling these tools.
- Green, social, sustainability and sustainability-linked (GSSS) bonds have proven their value as signalling and learning tools, helping countries test new structures and develop market infrastructure; Rwanda's over-subscribed local-currency bond is a notable African example.

- Country-led financing platforms are increasingly recognised as the mechanism through which these instruments can be coordinated coherently. The G20 has championed country platforms as voluntary, government-led mechanisms that align partners and financing under a unified national vision. The Sevilla Platform for Action, agreed at the Fourth Financing for Development Conference in 2025, includes an initiative co-led by South Africa, Egypt, UNDP, and others to achieve the goal of 100 countries implementing integrated financing programmes or country financing platforms by 2030.

The G20's comparative advantage lies in its ability to set norms, mobilise peer accountability, and align the mandates of MDBs, development finance institutions, and private capital. The Sustainable Finance Working Group (SFWG) under the 2025 South African Presidency produced 35 recommendations to strengthen the global sustainable finance architecture, scale up adaptation finance, and unlock carbon market potential. These recommendations provide a ready-made foundation for elevating financing for the SDGs.

The Case for Elevation: From Workstream to Core Agenda

Despite this progress, innovative finance remains fragmented across working groups and presidencies. It is discussed in the SFWG, the Development Working Group, the Disaster Risk Reduction Working Group, and the Infrastructure Working Group, but there is no single, high-level G20 locus of accountability for scaling it to the trillions required. The risk is that the G20 continues to produce excellent technical outputs—compendiums, principles, recommendations—without the political mandate and delivery architecture to ensure they are implemented at scale.

Elavation means three things

A Leaders-level mandate that treats innovative finance as a standing G20 priority, not a presidency-specific theme.
A Leaders-level mandate that treats innovative finance as a standing G20 priority, not a presidency-specific theme.
A delivery-focused accountability framework that tracks not only the volume of innovative finance mobilised, but its development impact, country ownership, and alignment with SDG priorities.

Conclusion

The G20 has spent several years building the intellectual and institutional foundations for innovative finance. The instruments are designed to work, the principles are agreed, and the compendiums and resolutions exist. What is missing is giving this political will necessary for delivery on them and the lack of delivery discipline. With the SDG deadline approaching and traditional financing flows retreating, the G20 cannot afford to leave innovative finance in the series of parallel technical workstreams. But the G20 should act now to place innovative finance at the centre of its development agenda—not as an experiment, but as the operating system for financing the 2030 Agenda.

Recommendations for G20 Member States

Recommendation 1: Adopt a G20 Leaders' Statement on Innovative Finance for the SDGs.
Member states should negotiate a concise Leaders' statement—building on the Johannesburg Declaration and the Sevilla Commitment—that affirms innovative finance as a core instrument for SDG delivery and commits the G20 to a measurable increase in innovative finance mobilised by 2030. The statement should explicitly recognise blended finance, debt swaps, risk transfer, GSSS bonds, and country-led platforms as priority instruments.

Recommendation 2: Establish a G20 Innovative Finance Coordination Mechanism.
Create a time-bound coordination mechanism—reporting to Finance Ministers—that brings together the SFWG, Development Working Group, MDBs, the GBFA, and relevant UN entities to:

- Map existing innovative finance initiatives across G20 workstreams;
- Identify gaps and duplications;
- Develop a shared pipeline of bankable, country-led SDG projects;
- Align MDB incentives and capital adequacy frameworks with innovative finance objectives.

Recommendation 3: Scale country-led financing platforms as the default delivery model. Endorse the Sevilla target of 100 countries with integrated financing platforms by 2030 and task the coordination mechanism with developing minimum standards for country platforms—including governance, transparency, SDG alignment, and private-capital mobilisation ratios—drawing on the G20's existing work on country platforms and the Egypt-led NWFE model.

Recommendation 4: Operationalise the GBFA at scale, with a focus on LDCs and SIDS.
Provide the GBFA with a clear mandate to move beyond capacity-building into deal structuring and pipeline development, prioritising LDCs and SIDS where blended finance has been slowest to reach. Member states should commit to capitalising GBFA-linked structures and reporting annually on blended-finance flows to the most vulnerable countries.

Recommendation 5: Mainstream risk-transfer instruments into SDG financing strategies.
Build on the UNCDF Compendium by integrating parametric insurance, risk pools, and catastrophe bonds into country platform design and MDB lending instruments. The G20 should task the Disaster Risk Reduction Working Group and the SFWG with joint recommendations on how risk-transfer tools can protect SDG investments from climate shocks and reduce the fiscal volatility that undermines long-term development planning.

Recommendation 6: Develop a G20 accountability framework for innovative finance.
Task the coordination mechanism with producing an annual G20 Innovative Finance for the SDGs Report, tracking:

- Volume and composition of innovative finance mobilised (blended finance, swaps, risk transfer, GSSS bonds);
- Share directed to LDCs, SIDS, and Africa;
- Private-capital mobilisation ratios;
- Development-impact metrics, beyond leverage ratios alone.